Density Functional Theory (DFT) : What Does It Mean?

Corbyn Mellinger

Xu Group Meeting

April 12 2021

Regular Approach to Solving System

 Ψ : Wavefunction for total system

e.g. One electron system

<u>Schrödinger Equation</u>: $\widehat{H}\Psi = E\Psi$ for system Hamiltonian \widehat{H}

 $\widehat{H} = \widehat{T} + \widehat{V}$ (kinetic energy operator, potential term e.g. nuclear potential)

$$\widehat{T} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2; \quad \widehat{V} = -\frac{Z_{\rm B}}{\left|\vec{r} - \vec{R}_B\right|}$$

Regular Approach to Solving System

N-electron system : $\Psi = \Psi(\vec{r}_1, \sigma_1; \vec{r}_2, \sigma_2; ...; \vec{r}_N, \sigma_N)$

Now the Hamiltonian consists of more parts:

$$\widehat{H} = \widehat{T} + \widehat{V}_{ee} + \sum_{i}^{N} V(\vec{r}_{i})$$

 \hat{T} , \hat{V}_{ee} are independent of particular system, while V is system dependent

Try to calculate N-electrons for M points in space $\implies M^N$ values to calculate. Any realistic system cannot be solved even by computers

Motivation for New Procedure

Function vs Functional :

Function assigns number(s) to number(s)

Functional assigns function(s) to number(s)

Often see in context of calculus of variations, e.g. Lagrangians

<u>Wavefunction variational principle</u>: extrema of functional $h[\Psi] = \langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle$ gives stationary states; min{ $h[\Psi]$ } gives ground state

Hohenberg-Kohn Theorems

(1) There exists an electron-density functional F[n] such that the ground-state energy and density for N electrons within external potential $V(\vec{r})$ is:

$$E_{GS} = \min\{F[n] + \int d^3r \, V(\vec{r})n(\vec{r})\},\$$

where minimization is taken over all $n(\vec{r}) \ge 0$ satisfying constraint $\int d^3r n(\vec{r}) = N$.

 $\rightarrow F[n]$ is "universal functional" of the density : totally independent to the details of external potential V

Hohenberg-Kohn Theorems

(2) The external potential $V(\vec{r})$ (and hence the Hamiltonian) are determined to within an additive constant by $n(\vec{r})$

→ Opposite of how we normally think about solving: rather than going from potential to electron density we can instead get external potential from electron density.

$$\Phi_{n}: \widehat{H}_{s} \Phi_{n} = E_{s} \Phi_{n} \text{ (s: single-electron)}$$

$$F[n] = \langle \Psi_{n} | \widehat{T} + \widehat{V}_{ee} | \Psi_{n} \rangle = \langle \Phi_{n} | \widehat{T} + \widehat{V}_{ee} | \Phi_{n} \rangle + E_{c}[n]$$

$$T_{s}[n] = \langle \Phi_{n} | \widehat{T} | \Phi_{n} \rangle \otimes \langle \Phi_{n} | \widehat{V}_{ee} | \Phi_{n} \rangle = U[n] + E_{x}[n]$$

$$\Rightarrow F[n] = T_s[n] + U[n] + E_{xc}[n]$$

$$\Phi_{n}: \widehat{H}_{s} \Phi_{n} = E_{s} \Phi_{n} \text{ (s: single-electron)}$$

$$F[n] = \langle \Psi_{n} | \widehat{T} + \widehat{V}_{ee} | \Psi_{n} \rangle = \langle \Phi_{n} | \widehat{T} + \widehat{V}_{ee} | \Phi_{n} \rangle + E_{c}[n]$$

$$T_{s}[n] = \langle \Phi_{n} | \widehat{T} | \Phi_{n} \rangle \otimes \langle \Phi_{n} | \widehat{V}_{ee} | \Phi_{n} \rangle = U[n] + E_{x}[n]$$

$$\Rightarrow F[n] = T_s[n] + U[n] + E_{xc}[n]$$

$$\Phi_{n}: \widehat{H}_{s} \Phi_{n} = E_{s} \Phi_{n} \text{ (s: single-electron)}$$

$$F[n] = \langle \Psi_{n} | \widehat{T} + \widehat{V}_{ee} | \Psi_{n} \rangle = \langle \Phi_{n} | \widehat{T} + \widehat{V}_{ee} | \Phi_{n} \rangle + E_{c}[n]$$

$$T_{s}[n] = \langle \Phi_{n} | \widehat{T} | \Phi_{n} \rangle \otimes \langle \Phi_{n} | \widehat{V}_{ee} | \Phi_{n} \rangle = U[n] + E_{x}[n]$$

$$\Rightarrow F[n] = T_s[n] + U[n] + E_{xc}[n]$$

$$\Phi_{n}: \widehat{H}_{s} \Phi_{n} = E_{s} \Phi_{n} \text{ (s: single-electron)}$$

$$F[n] = \langle \Psi_{n} | \widehat{T} + \widehat{V}_{ee} | \Psi_{n} \rangle = \langle \Phi_{n} | \widehat{T} + \widehat{V}_{ee} | \Phi_{n} \rangle + E_{c}[n]$$

$$T_{s}[n] = \langle \Phi_{n} | \widehat{T} | \Phi_{n} \rangle \otimes \langle \Phi_{n} | \widehat{V}_{ee} | \Phi_{n} \rangle = U[n] + E_{x}[n]$$

$$\Rightarrow F[n] = T_{s}[n] + U[n] + E_{xc}[n]$$
Treated exactly Approximated