Exchange Bias(EB): II.FM Partial Wall Models Detian Yang 2019.11.01

Outline

1. Semiclassical Justification of the phenomenological free energy formula *R L Stamps 2000 J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **33** *R*247

2. FM Partial (Incomplete) Domain Wall Model

M. Kiwi, J. Mejia-Lopez, R. D. Portugal and R. Ramirez, Europhys. Lett., 48 (5), pp. 573-579 (1999) M. Kiwi et al. / Solid State Communications 116 (2000) 315–319 M.Kiwi et al. Appl. Phys. Lett. **75**, 3995 (1999) J. Mejia-Lopez et al. Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 241 (2002) 364–370

Spin Vector Array Model(SVAM)
$$E_{inter} = -HMt_f \cdot f + J_1 f \cdot a + J_2 (f \cdot a)^2 + \sigma (1 - a \cdot n_{af})$$

(1) Magnetic moments are represented by an array of classical spin vectors S_i

(2) Lattice structure is taken as simple cubic

(3) Equilibrium configurations are found by numerically integrating torque equations using a relaxation method: find **zero torque configurations** by numerically integrating the **Landau–Lifshitz equations** of motion for each spin

Landau–Lifshitz equations

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\boldsymbol{S}_{i} = -\gamma \boldsymbol{S}_{i} \times \nabla_{\boldsymbol{S}_{i}} \mathcal{H} + \lambda \boldsymbol{S}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{S}_{i} \times \nabla_{\boldsymbol{S}_{i}} \mathcal{H}$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i,j}^{N} J_{i,j} \mathbf{S}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j} + \sum_{i}^{N} \left[-g\mu_{\mathrm{B}} \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i} + K_{i} \left(\mathbf{S}_{i} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\mathrm{af}} \right)^{2} \right]$$



Kim J-V, Wee L, Stamps R L and Street R 1999 IEEE Trans. Magn. 35 2994–7

L. Wee, R. L. Stamps, and R. E. Camley , Journal of Applied Physics 89, 6913 (2001)

Semiclassical Justification of the Phenomenological Model

Goal: Under a series of acceptable assumptions, SVAM is equivalent to phenomenological model

(1) Justify the existence of biquadratic term, i.e. $J_2 \neq 0$

(2) Uncompensated interface: $J_1 \gg J_2$, while compensated interface: $J_1 = 0$, $J_2 \neq 0$

(3) Suggest the potential existence of FM partial wall

$$E_{\text{inter}} = -HMt_{\text{f}} \cdot f + J_1 f \cdot a + J_2 (f \cdot a)^2 + \sigma (1 - a \cdot n_{\text{af}})$$

R.L. Stamps, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 33 (2000) R247

Semiclassical Justification of the Phenomenal Model

$$E = E_{\rm f} + E_{\rm af} + E_{\rm inter}$$
$$E_{\rm f} = \sum \left[-H \cdot f_i - \sum J_{i,j} f_i \cdot f_j - K_i \left(f_i \cdot \hat{n}_{\rm f} \right)^2 \right]$$

$$E_{\text{af}} = \sum_{i \in \text{antiferro}} \left[-\boldsymbol{H} \cdot (\boldsymbol{a}_i + \boldsymbol{b}_i + \sum_{\langle i, j \rangle} J_{i, j} \boldsymbol{a}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{b}_j - K_i \right]$$
$$\times \left[\left(\boldsymbol{a}_i \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}_{\text{af}} \right)^2 + \left(\boldsymbol{b}_i \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}_{\text{af}} \right)^2 \right]$$

 $\overline{\langle i, j \rangle}$

$$E_{\text{inter}} = \sum_{i,j \in \text{interface}} J_{a} f_{i} \cdot a_{j} - \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} J_{b} f_{i} \cdot b_{j}.$$

 f_i : lattice vectors of FM; a_i , b_i : lattice vectors of AFM; \hat{n}_f , \hat{n}_{af} : unit vectors of anisotropy easy axis of FM and AFM;

 $egin{aligned} m{l}_i &= m{a}_i + m{b}_i \ m{t}_i &= m{a}_i - m{b}_i. \end{aligned}$

 $i \in \text{ferro}$



Figure 19. Geometry. The interface region is sketched in (*a*). The antiferromagnet is in the $y < \epsilon$ half space, and the ferromagnet is in the $y > \epsilon$ half space. The interface region contains magnetic moments from each material and the boundaries are defined to intercept only exchange couplings between like moments. In (*b*), the angles θ , ϕ , and ξ are defined, specifying the transformed magnetizations. The angles are functions of position, *y*.

Assumptions: (1)) $F(\vec{x})$ change slowly over lattice spacing length scales

(2) $F(\vec{x}) = F(y)$

(3) Nearest coordination neighbors

$$F\left(\vec{x} + \vec{\delta}\right) \approx F(\vec{x}) + \vec{\delta} \cdot \nabla F(\vec{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\vec{\delta} \cdot \nabla\right)^2 F(\vec{x}) , \quad F = \vec{f}_i, \vec{l}_i, \vec{t}_i$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\rm f} = \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \left[-H \cdot f - K_{\rm f} \left(f \cdot n_{\rm f} \right)^2 - f \cdot D_{\rm f} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} f \right] dy$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{af} = \int_{-\infty}^{-\epsilon} \left[-H \cdot l - K_{af} \left[(l \cdot n_{af})^2 + (t \cdot n_{af})^2 \right] \qquad D_f = z_f J_f \, \delta^2 / 2, \\ + D_{af} \left(t \cdot \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} t - l \cdot \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} l \right) \right] dy. \qquad D_{af} = z_{af} J_{af} \, \delta^2 / 2$$

 $J_{\pm} = \frac{1}{4}(J_a \pm J_b)$

 $\mathcal{E}_{inter} = 2\epsilon \left[J_{+}\vec{f} \cdot \vec{l} + J_{-}\vec{f} \cdot \vec{t} \right]$

 $egin{aligned} & l_i = a_i + b_i \ & t_i = a_i - b_i. \end{aligned}$





Minimizing $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_f + \mathcal{E}_{af} + \mathcal{E}_{inter}$ by considering variations of \vec{f} , \vec{l} and \vec{t} with constraints $|\vec{f}| = |\vec{a}| = |\vec{b}| = 1$, i.e., $|\vec{f}| = 1$, $l^2 + t^2 = 2$

$$|l| = \sqrt{2}\cos\xi$$
 $|t| = \sqrt{2}\sin\xi$

 D_{af} is large and so AFM two sublattice magnetizations remain nearly antiparallel

$$\vec{l} \text{ is small and } \xi \text{ approaches to } \frac{\pi}{2} \text{ . Define } \beta = \frac{\pi}{2} - \xi, \beta \ll 1$$

$$\mathcal{E} \approx \int_{\epsilon}^{+\infty} \left[-HM_f \cos(\theta - \rho) + D_f \theta_y^2 + K_f \cos^2 \theta \right] dy$$

$$+ \int_{-\infty}^{\epsilon} \left[-HM_{af} \beta \cos(\phi - \rho) + 2D_{af} (1 - 2\beta^2) (\beta_y^2 - \phi_y^2) - 2K_{af} (\beta^2 \cos^2 \phi + (1 - \beta^2) \sin^2 \phi) \right] dy$$

$$+ \sqrt{2} \epsilon \left[J_+ \beta_0 \cos(\theta_0 - \phi_0) - J_- (1 - \frac{1}{2}\beta_0^2) \sin(\theta_0 - \phi_0) \right]$$

where θ_0 , ϕ_0 , β_0 denotes the values at y=0

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \theta} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \beta} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \phi} = 0.$$



AFM $(\vec{l}(y))$	Direction: $\frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \phi} = 0$	Magnitude: $\frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \beta} = 0$
Equations	$4D_{\rm af} \left(2\beta^2 - 1\right)\phi_{yy} + 16D_{\rm af}\beta\beta_y - \sqrt{2}\beta H M_{\rm af}\sin(\phi - \rho) -2K_{\rm af} \left(1 - 2\beta^2\right)\sin(2\phi) = 0.$	$4D_{\rm af} \left(2\beta^2 - 1\right)\beta_{yy} + 8D_{\rm af}\beta\beta_y^2 - \sqrt{2}HM_{\rm af}\cos(\phi - \rho)$ $-4K_{\rm af}\beta\cos(2\phi) = 0$
Boundary Condition at $y = 0$	$4D_{\rm af} \left(2\beta_0^2 - 1\right) \phi_y(0) + \sqrt{2}\epsilon \left[J_+\beta_0 \sin(\theta_0 - \phi_0) + J \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\beta^2\right) \cos(\theta_0 - \phi_0)\right] = 0.$	$4D_{\rm af} (2\beta_0^2 - 1) \beta_y(0) - \sqrt{2}\epsilon \\ \times \left[J_+ \cos(\theta_0 - \phi_0) + J \beta_0 \sin(\theta_0 - \phi_0) \right] = 0$

```
FM: neglecting any deformation of the ferromagnet order (\theta_y = 0)

and FM anisotropy(K_f = 0)

\frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \theta} = 0

\mathcal{E}_f = -HMt_f \cos(\theta - \rho).

HMt_f \sin(\theta_0 - \rho) - 2\sqrt{2}\epsilon

\times [J_+\beta_0 \sin(\theta_0 - \phi_0) + J_- \cos(\theta_0 - \phi_0)] = 0.

\mathcal{E} \approx \int_{\epsilon}^{+\infty} [-HM_f \cos(\theta - \rho) + D_f \theta_y^2 + K_f \cos^2\theta] dy

+ \int_{-\infty}^{\epsilon} [-HM_{af}\beta\cos(\theta - \rho) + 2D_{af}(1 - 2\beta^2)(\beta_y^2 - \phi_y^2) - 2K_{af}(\beta^2\cos^2\phi + (1 - \beta^2)\sin^2\phi)] dy
```

Interface Exchange Energy

$$E_{\text{inter}} = -HMt_{\text{f}} \cdot f + J_1 f \cdot a + J_2 (f \cdot a)^2 + \sigma (1 - a \cdot n_{\text{af}})$$

Assumptions: (1) canting of the antiferromagnet at the interface is small $(\beta, \beta_y, \phi \ll 1)$ (2)there is no significant twist in the ferromagnet



$$\beta = C_{\pm} \exp(\pm \Delta y)$$

$$\beta = Ce^{\Delta y}$$

$$\Delta = \sqrt{\frac{K_{\rm af}}{D_{\rm af}}\cos(2\phi_0)} \approx \sqrt{\frac{K_{\rm af}}{D_{\rm af}}}$$

$$C = -\frac{J_{+}\cos(\theta_{0}-\phi_{0})}{\sigma_{\beta}+J_{-}\sin(\theta_{0}-\phi_{0})} = -\frac{J_{+}\cos(\theta_{0}-\phi_{0})}{\sigma_{\beta}} \left[\frac{1}{1+\frac{J_{-}\sin(\theta_{0}-\phi_{0})}{\sigma_{\beta}}} \right]$$
$$= -\frac{J_{+}\cos(\theta_{0}-\phi_{0})}{\sigma_{\beta}} \left[1 - \frac{J_{-}\sin(\theta_{0}-\phi_{0})}{\sigma_{\beta}} + \left(\frac{J_{-}\sin(\theta_{0}-\phi_{0})}{\sigma_{\beta}} \right)^{2} - \left(\frac{J_{-}\sin(\theta_{0}-\phi_{0})}{\sigma_{\beta}} \right)^{3} + \cdots \right]$$

$$C = -\frac{J_{+}\cos(\theta_{0} - \phi_{0})}{\sigma_{\beta} + J_{-}\sin(\theta_{0} - \phi_{0})}$$
$$\sigma_{\beta} = 4\sqrt{K_{\rm af}D_{\rm af}}/\sqrt{2}.$$

 $rac{J_-}{\sigma_eta} \ll 1$ (interlayer exchange relatively weak)

Boundary Condition at y = 0

$$HMt_{f}\sin(\theta_{0} - \rho) - \theta_{-}\sin(\theta_{0} + \alpha_{0}) \qquad \text{Where } \alpha = -\phi; \ \sigma_{a} = 2\sqrt{2}\sigma_{b};$$
$$-\frac{\theta_{+}^{2}}{\sigma_{a}}\sin(\theta_{0} + \alpha_{0})\cos(\theta_{0} + \alpha_{0}) = 0. \qquad \theta_{\pm} = 2\sqrt{2}\epsilon J_{\pm}$$

$$E_{\text{inter}} = -HMt_{\text{f}} \cdot f + J_1 f \cdot a + J_2 (f \cdot a)^2 + \sigma (1 - a \cdot n_{\text{af}})$$

AFM ($\hat{l}(y)$)	Direction: $rac{\delta arepsilon}{\delta \phi}=0$	Magnitude: $rac{\delta arepsilon}{\delta eta} = 0$
Equations	$4D_{\rm af} \left(2\beta^2 - 1\right)\phi_{yy} + 16D_{\rm af}\beta\beta_y - \sqrt{2}\beta H M_{\rm a} \sin(\phi - \rho)$ $-2K_{\rm af} \left(1 - 2\beta^2\right)\sin(2\phi) = 0.$	$4D_{\rm af} \left(2\beta^2 - 1\right) \beta_{yy} + 8D_{\rm af} \beta \beta_y^2 - \sqrt{2}H M_{\rm f} \cos(\phi - \rho)$ $-4K_{\rm af} \beta \cos(2\phi) = 0$
Boundary Condition at $y = 0$	$4D_{\rm af} \left(2\beta_0^2 - 1\right) \phi_y(0) + \sqrt{2}\epsilon \left[J_+\beta_0 \sin(\theta_0 - \phi_0) + J \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\beta^2\right) \cos(\theta_0 - \phi_0)\right] = 0.$	$4D_{\rm af} \left(2\beta_0^2 - 1\right) \beta_y(0) - \sqrt{2}\epsilon \\ \times \left[J_+ \cos(\theta_0 - \phi_0) + J \beta_0 \sin(\theta_0 - \phi_0)\right] = 0.$

$$\sigma_{a} \sin \phi_{0} - \theta_{-} \sin(\theta_{0} + \alpha_{0}) - \frac{\theta_{+}^{2}}{\sigma_{a}} \sin(\theta_{0} + \alpha_{0}) \cos(\theta_{0} + \alpha_{0} = 0$$
$$HMt_{f} \sin(\theta_{0} - \rho) - \theta_{-} \sin(\theta_{0} + \alpha_{0}) - \frac{\theta_{+}^{2}}{\sigma_{a}} \sin(\theta_{0} + \alpha_{0}) \cos(\theta_{0} + \alpha_{0}) = 0.$$

You can also derive these two equations from phenomenological model !

$$J_{1} = 2\sqrt{2} \in (J_{a} - J_{b})$$
$$J_{2} = \frac{\left[2\sqrt{2} \in (J_{a} + J_{b})\right]^{2}}{2\sigma_{a}}.$$
$$J_{1}/\sqrt{J_{2}} = \frac{J_{a} - J_{b}}{J_{a} + J_{b}}$$

 $J_{\rm a} + J_{\rm b}$

(1) Uncompensated case: $J_a = 0$, or $J_b = 0$, $J_1 \neq 0$, $J_2 \neq 0$

(2) compensated case: $J_a = J_b$, $J_1 = 0, J_2 \neq 0$





FM Partial Wall Models

FM partial wall could exist!

FM: neglecting any deformation of the ferromagnet order($\theta_y = 0$) and FM anisotropy($K_f = 0$) $\frac{\delta \varepsilon}{\delta \theta} = 0$ $\varepsilon_f = -HMt_f \cos(\theta - \rho)$. $HMt_f \sin(\theta_0 - \rho) - 2\sqrt{2}\epsilon$ $\times [J_+\beta_0 \sin(\theta_0 - \phi_0) + J_- \cos(\theta_0 - \phi_0)] = 0$. $\varepsilon_f = 0$ $\varepsilon_f = -HMt_f \cos(\theta - \rho)$. $\varepsilon_f = -HMt_f \sin(\theta - \rho)$. $\varepsilon_f = -HMt_f \sin(\theta$

$$\mathcal{E} \approx \int_{\epsilon}^{+\infty} \left[-HM_f \cos(\theta - \rho) + D_f \theta_y^2 + K_f \cos^2\theta \right] dy + \int_{-\infty}^{\epsilon} \left[-HM_{af} \beta \cos(\phi - \rho) + 2D_{af} (1 - 2\beta^2) (\beta_y^2 - \phi_y^2) - 2K_{af} (\beta^2 \cos^2\phi + (1 - \beta^2) \sin^2\phi) \right] dy + \sqrt{2} \epsilon [J_+ \beta_0 \cos(\theta_0 - \phi_0) - J_- (1 - \frac{1}{2}\beta_0^2) \sin(\theta_0 - \phi_0)]$$

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \theta} = 0$$

$$2D_{\rm f}\theta_{yy} - HM\sin(\theta - \rho) - K_{\rm f}\sin(2\theta) = 0$$

$$2D_{\rm f}\theta_y(0) + 2\sqrt{2}\epsilon \left[J_+\beta_0\sin(\theta_0 - \phi_0) + J_-\cos(\theta_0 - \phi_0)\right] = 0.$$

Neglecting FM anisotropy($K_f = 0$),

$$\mathcal{E}_{\rm f} = 2HMt_{\rm f} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{HMD_{\rm f}}\sin\left(\theta_0 - \rho - t_{\rm f}\sqrt{2HM/D_{\rm f}}\right)$$
$$E_{\rm inter} = -HMt_{\rm f}\cdot f + J_1f\cdot a + J_2(f\cdot a)^2 + \sigma\left(1 - a\cdot n_{\rm af}\right)$$

FM Partial Wall Models in FeF2/Fe

Prototype system



Fe polycrystalline (110) (100) 6.7nm, **13nm**, 130nm FeF₂ (110) 90nm MgO (100)

sequential e-beam evaporations



Compensated AFM interface

J. Nogués, D. Lederman, T. J. Moran, Ivan K. Schuller, and K. V. Rao, Appl. Phys. Lett. 68, 3186 (1996)

M. Kiwi, J. Mejia-Lopez, R. D. Portugal and R. Ramirez, Europhys. Lett., 48 (5), pp. 573-579 (1999)



FIG. 3. Exchange bias H_E for samples I (∇), II (\Box), III (\triangle), and IV (\bigcirc) in Fig. 1. (a) H_E as a function of temperature normalized to $H_E(10 \text{ K})$. Inset: Hysteresis loops at T = 10 K for FeF₂ (90 nm)–Fe (13 nm)–Ag (9 nm) grown at $T_S = 200$ °C (sample I in Fig. 1) field cooled in 2000 Oe (\odot) and -2000 Oe (\bigcirc). (b) Log-log plot of $H_E(10 \text{ K})$ as a function of Fe thickness t_{FM} . (\bullet) are samples grown at $T_S = 200$ °C with thicknesses of 6.7 and 130 nm.

 T_{S} = 200 °C (I), 200 °C (II), 250 °C (III), 300 °C (IV).

FM Partial Wall Models in FeF2/Fe

 $d_W \sim \sqrt{A/K}$

Pre-theoretical Simulations

Range: A unit interface magnetic cell extends up to 65 F, and many AF monolayers;

Methods: Stimulated annealing and Camley's method;

Results and Conclusions:

(0) $d_W^{FM} \sim 100 nm$, $d_W^{AFM} \sim a$ few monolayers

(1) When measurement field points opposite to the cooling field, a long-range FM partial wall develops;

(2) Interface layer AF spins deviate significantly relative to the AF bulk, only a tiny deviation develops in the second AF layer and no appreciable canting in the third;

(3) The presence of canted spins at the AF interface layer is no guarantee for $H_E \neq 0$ in the absence of a *symmetry-breaking mechanism*

Kirkpatrick S., Gelatt C. D. and Vechi M. P., Science, 220 (1983) 671 Camley R. E., Phys. Rev. B, 35 (1987) 3608; Camley R. E. and Tilley D. R., Phys. Rev. B, 37 (1988) 3413. $E=-\sum_{\langle i
eq j\,
angle}^{N}J_{ij}\,\,ec{S}_{i}\cdotec{S}_{j}$ $-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[K_{i} (\vec{S}_{i} \cdot \hat{e}_{i})^{2} + \mu_{\mathrm{B}} g_{i} \vec{S}_{i} \cdot \vec{H}\right].$ Hcf

Fig. 1. Spin configuration of the AFM interface monolayer and both the two FM and the two AFM monolayers closest to the interface, after it is field-cooled through $T_{\rm N}$. The canting angle θ_c is measured relative to the cooling field $\vec{H}_{\rm cf}$, applied parallel to the ($\bar{1}10$) AFM crystal direction: (a) corresponds to weak; (b) to the critical; and (c) to strong $|\vec{H}_{\rm cf}|$ values.

FM Partial Wall Models in FeF2/Fe

Assumptions:

(1) Perfect, flat, compensated two-sublattice AFM interface;

(2) Strong AFM anisotropy

(3)Symmetry-breaking Mechanism: During field cooling, the first AF interface layer freezes into the canted spin configuration when $T \rightarrow T_N$, and remains frozen in a metastable state during the cycling of H, where $|H| < H_{cf}$. For a single magnetic cell $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{AF} + \mathcal{H}_{F/AF} + \mathcal{H}_{F}$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm AF} = - J_{\rm AF} \left[S \, \hat{e}_{\rm AF} \cdot (\vec{S}^{(\alpha)} - \vec{S}^{(\beta)}) + 2\vec{S}^{(\alpha)} \cdot \vec{S}^{(\beta)} \right] -$$

$$- K_{\rm AF} \left[(\vec{S}^{(\alpha)} \cdot \hat{e}_{\rm AF})^2 + (\vec{S}^{(\beta)} \cdot \hat{e}_{\rm AF})^2 \right] - \mu_{\rm B} g (\vec{S}^{(\alpha)} + \vec{S}^{(\beta)}) \cdot \vec{H} ,$$

 $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{F/AF}} = - J_{\mathrm{F/AF}} \left(\vec{S}^{(\alpha)} + \vec{S}^{(\beta)} \right) \cdot \vec{S}_1 ,$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm F} = -2J_{\rm F} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \vec{S}_k \cdot \vec{S}_{k+1} - \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left[\frac{K_{\rm F}}{H^2} (\vec{S}_k \cdot \vec{H})^2 + \mu_{\rm B}g \, \vec{S}_k \cdot \vec{H} \right] \,.$$

Where $S = |\vec{S}|$; μ_B : Bohr magneton; g: Fe gyromagnetic ratio \vec{H} :external magnetic field; J_{μ} : Heisenberg exchange parameter; K_v : uniaxial anisotropy; \hat{e}_{AF} : unit vector along AF uniaxial anisotropy direction; $\vec{S}^{(\alpha)}, \vec{S}^{(\beta)}$: canted spin vectors in the AF interface, belonging to α - and β - sublattices; \vec{S}_k : spin vectors of the k-th FM layer, with $1 \le k \le N$, k=1 labeling FM interface;

Fig. 1. – Zero applied field spin configuration of the AF interface monolayer and the two F and AF monolayers closest to the interface. The canting angle θ_c is measured relative to the cooling field \vec{H}_{cf} , applied parallel to the ($\bar{1}10$) AF crystal direction.





FM Partial Wall Models in FeF₂/Fe PartI: field cool process

 $\mathcal{H}_{AF} = -J_{AF} \left[S \,\hat{e}_{AF} \cdot (\vec{S}^{(\alpha)} - \vec{S}^{(\beta)}) + 2\vec{S}^{(\alpha)} \cdot \vec{S}^{(\beta)} \right] - K_{AF} \left[(S^{(\alpha)} \cdot \hat{e}_{AF})^2 + (S^{(\beta)} \cdot \hat{e}_{AF})^2 \right] - \mu_B g \left(S^{(\alpha)} + S^{(\beta)} \right) \cdot \vec{H}$ $\mathcal{H}_{F/AF} = -J_{F/AF} \left(\vec{S}^{(\alpha)} + \vec{S}^{(\beta)} \right) \cdot \vec{S}_1$

describes the behavior of the system during the cooling process and the removal of the cooling field

$$\frac{\delta E}{\delta \theta} = 0, \qquad \implies \qquad \theta = \theta_c$$
$$\frac{\delta^2 E}{\delta \theta^2} > 0$$

 $\theta = \theta^{(\alpha)} = - \theta^{(\beta)}$

 $\vec{\varsigma}(\beta)$

 \vec{H}_{cf} $\theta^{(\beta)}$

This provides the symmetry breaking required for ${\bf \Xi}{\bf B}$ to develop !

(1)
$$|\vec{H}_{cf}| < 2J_{F/AF} / \mu_B g$$
, $\theta = \theta_c > \frac{\pi}{2}$, Negative EB
(2) $|\vec{H}_{cf}| > 2J_{F/AF} / \mu_B g$, $\theta = \theta_c < \frac{\pi}{2}$, Positive EB
(3) $|\vec{H}_{cf}| = 2J_{F/AF} / \mu_B g$, $\theta = \theta_c = \frac{\pi}{2}$, No EB

$$J_{\text{F/AF}} = J_{\text{AF}} = -1.2 \text{ meV}$$
 $K_{\text{AF}} = 2.5 \text{ meV/spin}$

Analytical Results:

.

Define $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} + \gamma$, and expand $E(\theta)$ relative to γ , then minimizing $E(\theta)$, to the second order of γ , we get

$$\theta_{\rm c} = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{2 |J_{\rm F/AF}| - g_{\rm AF} \mu_{\rm B} H_{\rm cf}}{10 |J_{\rm AF}| + 2K_{\rm AF}}$$



Fig. 1. Spin configuration of the AFM interface monolayer and be the two FM and the two AFM monolayers closest to the interface after it is field-cooled through T_N . The canting angle θ_c is measur relative to the cooling field \vec{H}_{cf} , applied parallel to the ($\bar{1}10$) AF crystal direction: (a) corresponds to weak; (b) to the critical; and to strong $|\vec{H}_{cf}|$ values.





FM Partial Wall Models in FeF₂/Fe Part II : measurement process \vec{H}_{eff}

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{F/AF}} &= -J_{\mathrm{F/AF}} \left(\vec{S}^{(\alpha)} + \vec{S}^{(\beta)} \right) \cdot \vec{S}_{1} \\ \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{F}} &= -2J_{\mathrm{F}} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \vec{S}_{k} \cdot \vec{S}_{k+1} - \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left[\frac{K_{\mathrm{F}}}{H^{2}} \left(\vec{S}_{k} \cdot \vec{H} \right)^{2} + \mu_{\mathrm{B}} g \, \vec{S}_{k} \cdot \vec{H} \right] \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon} &= \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{AF}} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{F/AF}}}{J_{F} \mathrm{S}^{2}} = -h \sum_{k=1}^{N} \cos \theta_{k} - \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \cos \left(\theta_{k+1} - \theta_{k} \right) - \kappa \cos \theta_{1} - D \sum_{k=1}^{N} \cos^{2} \theta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{FM} \\ J_{\mathrm{F/AF}} &= J_{\mathrm{AF}} = -1.2 \text{ meV}. \\ h &= \mu_{\mathrm{B}} g H/2 J_{\mathrm{F}} < 10^{-3} \qquad \kappa = -(|J_{\mathrm{F/AF}}|/J_{\mathrm{F}}) \cos \theta_{c} \qquad D = K_{\mathrm{F}}/2 J_{\mathrm{F}} < 10^{-5} \qquad K_{\mathrm{F}} = 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ meV/spin} \\ \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \theta_{j}} &= h \sin \theta_{j} - (1 - \delta_{j,N}) \sin(\theta_{j+1} - \theta_{j}) + (1 - \delta_{j,1}) \sin(\theta_{j} - \theta_{j-1}) + \delta_{j,1} \kappa \sin \theta_{1} + D \sin 2\theta_{j} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_{i,j} \text{ is the Kronecker symbol.} \qquad (1 \leq j \leq N) \\ & h \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sin \theta_{k} + \kappa \sin \theta_{1} + 2D \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sin \theta_{k} \cos \theta_{k} = 0 \qquad \kappa > 0 \qquad h < 0 \qquad \kappa \gg |h| \qquad 0 < \theta_{k} < \pi \\ & 0 < |\theta_{\mathrm{N}} - \theta_{1}| < 20^{\circ} \qquad |\theta_{j} - \theta_{j\pm1}| \ll 1 \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \theta_j} = h \sin \theta_j - (1 - \delta_{j,N}) \sin(\theta_{j+1} - \theta_j) + (1 - \delta_{j,1}) \sin(\theta_j - \theta_{j-1}) + \delta_{j,1} \kappa \sin \theta_1 + D \sin 2\theta_j = 0$$

$$h\sum_{k=1}^{N}\sin\theta_{k} + \kappa\sin\theta_{1} + 2D\sum_{k=1}^{N}\sin\theta_{k}\cos\theta_{k} = 0$$

Analytical Results:

 $heta_k= heta_1+(k-1)\delta$, to the second order of δ Define $\varepsilon = (N_{\rm F} - 1)\delta^2 - hN_{\rm F}M(\theta_1, \delta) - \kappa\cos(\theta_1),$ $M(\theta_1, \delta) = \cos \theta_1 - (N_{\rm F} - 1)$ $\times \left[\frac{1}{2} \delta \sin \theta_1 - \frac{1}{12} (2N_{\rm F} - 1)\delta^2 \cos \theta_1\right]$ $\frac{1}{2(N_{\rm F}-1)}\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial\delta} =$ $\delta + \frac{1}{4} h N_{\rm F} \left[\sin \theta_1 - \frac{1}{3} (2 N_{\rm F} - 1) \delta \cos \theta_1 \right] = 0,$ $\overline{}$ $\Delta M (0)$

$$\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \theta_1} = -h N_{\rm F} \frac{\partial M(\theta_1, \delta)}{\partial \theta_1} + \kappa \sin \theta_1 = 0$$

TABLE I. Magnetization vector angle θ_k , relative to the direction of the cooling field \mathbf{H}_{cf} , for the five layers k = -3, -2, -1, 1, and 2 of Fig. 1 (H_{cf} =2000 Oe).

Layer	$\theta_k \; (\text{Fe/FeF}_2)$	$\theta_k (\text{Fe/MnF}_2)$
F(k=2)	0.17°	0.04°
F(k=1)	0.85°	0.26°
AF(k=-1)	98.16°	93.04°
AF(k=-2)	88.91°	89.41°
AF(k=-3)	90.07°	90.03°



FIG. 4. Magnetization angle θ_k of the *k*th Fe layer with the cooling field \mathbf{H}_{cf} vs applied field *H*.

$$\delta = \frac{3hN\sin\theta_1}{2hN^2\cos\theta_1 - hN\cos\theta_1 - 12} \qquad \kappa = \frac{|J_{\text{F/AF}}|}{J_{\text{F}}}\sin\left[\frac{2|J_{\text{F/AF}}| - g_{\text{AF}} \ \mu_{\text{B}} \ H_{\text{cf}}}{10|J_{\text{AF}}| + 2K_{\text{AF}}}\right]$$

$$\kappa^{2} \left(20N_{\rm F}^{2} - 4N_{\rm F} + 5 + \frac{4}{N_{\rm F} - 1} \right) x^{7} - 2\kappa^{2} \left[(2N_{\rm F} + 1)^{2} + \left(\frac{2}{N_{\rm F} - 1}\right) \right] x^{5} + 72\kappa (5N_{\rm F} - 1)x^{4} - 12\kappa^{2}N_{\rm F} \times (N_{\rm F} - 1) x^{3} - 144\kappa (N_{\rm F} + 1) x^{2} + 1728 x - 216\kappa(N_{\rm F} - 1) = 0 .$$

In the weak interface coupling limit $|\kappa| < \kappa_0$, where $\kappa_0 = \sqrt{24/(N_F^2 - 1)}$, the expression for h_{EB} reduces to

 $h_{\mathrm{EB}} = -rac{\kappa}{N_{\mathrm{F}}} \ ,$



Fig. 2. Solutions of Eq. (16) for three FM film thickness $(N_{\rm F})$ values.

