# "Exploiting Symmetry Mismatch to Control Magnetism in a Ferroelastic Heterostructure"

Corbyn Mellinger

Xu Group Meeting

May 31 2019

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 122, 187202 (2019)

LaCoO<sub>3</sub> : A Small Mystery

- Rhombohedral perovskite structure at RT; nonmagnetic in bulk
- Observed FM behavior in thin film with  $T_c \sim 80 K$
- Traditionally attributed to oxygen vacancy ordering



R3c LCO structure, in pseudocubic axes.  $CoO_6$  octahedral with La

LaCoO<sub>3</sub> : A Small Mystery

- Rhombohedral perovskite structure at RT; nonmagnetic in bulk
- Observed FM behavior in thin film with  $T_c{\sim}80{\rm K}$
- Traditionally attributed to oxygen vacancy ordering





LaCoO<sub>3</sub> : A Small Mystery

- Rhombohedral perovskite structure at RT; nonmagnetic in bulk
- Observed FM behavior in thin film with  $T_c \sim 80 K$
- Traditionally attributed to oxygen vacancy ordering



Co<sup>3+</sup>: S=1



LaCoO<sub>3</sub> : A Small Mystery

- Rhombohedral perovskite structure at RT; nonmagnetic in bulk
- Observed FM behavior in thin film with  $T_c \sim 80 K$
- Traditionally attributed to oxygen vacancy ordering



Co<sup>3+</sup>: S=2



LaCoO<sub>3</sub> : A Small Mystery

- Rhombohedral perovskite structure at RT; nonmagnetic in bulk
- Observed FM behavior in thin film with  $T_c \sim 80 K$
- Traditionally attributed to oxygen vacancy ordering



Co<sup>2+</sup>: S=3/2



LaCoO<sub>3</sub> XAS

- Don't see Co<sup>2+</sup> in as-grown samples; fabrication for TEM causes damaging oxidation?
- Strain-distortion of CoO<sub>6</sub> octahedra lead to nonzero spin on Co
  - "Tetragonally distorted CoO<sub>6</sub> octahedra have nonzero spins, while the monoclinically distorted CoO<sub>6</sub> possess zero spin."



## X-ray Depth Profiling

- X-ray densities at interface same as bulk; film interior 1.6% lower
  - Ferroelastic domains → lower density
- Suggests strain gradient affecting LCO; look at magnetic information



# Polar Neutron Reflectometry (PNR)

- Neutron polarized parallel or antiparallel to field H
  - Nuclear and magnetic field interactions change intensity and polarization of reflected neutrons
- Glancing angle of incident neutrons control how deep neutrons probe; allow for magnetization determination as function of depth
- Reflectivities R<sup>++</sup>, R<sup>--</sup>, R<sup>+-</sup>, R<sup>-+</sup> fit to model





JMMM 200 (1999), 741-754



- Scattering length density (SLD): determined by nuclear and magnetic density of material
- Magnetization lower at interfaces than in film bulk
- Nuclear SLD lower in film bulk
  - Agrees with x-ray SLD

#### Strain in LCO Thin-Film



 LCO/STO interface distorted differently than interior, causing magnetization difference



• Interface thickness = magnetic difference thickness



- Proposed mechanism:
  - d<sub>Co-O</sub> = 1.93Å; Co-O-Co bond angle ~163° in bulk
  - Tensile strain from STO inc. angle to near 180°, increase  $d_{Co-O}$  which lowers  $\Delta_{CF}$

## Testing Compression Effect

- Applied pressure dec. film volume, inc. CF splitting energy to make HSstate of Co<sup>3+</sup> less favorable
  - Strain of STO enough to account for magnetization





